

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/786,935      | 02/25/2004                      | Albert J. Robichaud     | PH7218A CIP         | 5060             |
| 23914           | 7590 08/18/2004                 | EXAMINER                |                     |                  |
| STEPHEN         |                                 | KIFLE, BRUCK            |                     |                  |
|                 | IYERS SQUIBB COMPA<br>EPARTMENT | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| P O BOX 40      |                                 | 1624                    |                     |                  |
| PRINCETO        | N, NJ 08543-4000                | DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u></u>   |   | Applicat | ion No.  | Applicant(s)     |        |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|----------|--|------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/786,9 | 935  | ROBICHAUD ET AL. |        |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examine  | er   | Art Unit         |        |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Bruck K  | ifle, Ph.D.  | 1624             |        |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |   |          |  |                  |        |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |          |  |                  |        |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |          |  |                  |        |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)☐ <sup>1</sup><br>3)☐ <sup>3</sup>   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 February 2004</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |          |  |                  |        |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |          |  |                  |        |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>   |   |          |  |                  |        |  |  |  |  |
| Application   | on Papers   |          |  |                  |        |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |          |  |                  |        |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |          |  |                  |        |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |          |  |                  |        |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform   | s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/04.   |          | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate              | O-152) |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1624

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are drawn to treating addictive behavior and sleep disorders. It is unclear which addictive behavior and sleep disorder is intended and which one is not as these groups include unrelated (addiction to opiates, nicotine, alcohol, etc.) and/or embrace "opposites" (e.g. sleeplessness and narcolepsy).

Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not reasonably provide enablement for treating addictive behavior and sleep disorders generally.

In evaluating the enablement question, several factors are to be considered. Note In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 and Ex parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546. The factors include: 1) The nature of the invention, 2) the state of the prior art, 3) the predictability or lack thereof in the art, 4) the amount of direction or guidance present, 5) the presence or absence of working examples, 6) the breadth of the claims, and 7) the quantity of experimentation needed.

- 1) The nature of the invention: The method of use claims are drawn in part to treating any and all addictive behavior and sleep disorders.
- 2) The state of the prior art: There are no known compounds of similar structure which have been demonstrated to treat all addictions and/or sleep disorders. For example, the notion that a compound could be effective against addictive disorders in general is absolutely contrary to our current understanding of how chemical dependencies operate. There is not, and probably never

Application/Control Number: 10/786,935

Art Unit: 1624

will be, a pharmacological treatment for "addictive behavior" generally. That is because "addictive behavior" is not a single disease or cluster of related disorders, but in fact, a collection with relatively little in common. Addiction to barbiturates, alcohol, cocaine, opiates, amphetamines, benzodiazepines, nicotine, etc. all involve different parts of the CNS system; different receptors in the body. For example, cocaine binds at the dopamine reuptake transmitter. Heroin addiction, for example, arises from binding at the opiate receptors, cigarette addiction from some interaction at the nicotinic acid receptors, many tranquilizers involve the benzodiazepine receptor, alcohol involves yet another system, etc. All attempts to find a pharmaceutical to treat chemical addictions generally have thus failed.

- 3) The predictability or lack thereof in the art: There is no evidence of record which would enable the skilled artisan in the identification of the people who can be treated with these disorders.
- 4) The amount of direction or guidance present and 5) the presence or absence of working examples: There are no doses present to direct one to treat the disorders cited. There is no direction to indicate which disorders are intended.
- 6) The breadth of the claims: The claims are drawn to disorders that are not related.
- 7) The quantity of experimentation need would be an undue burden to one skilled in the pharmaceutical arts since there is inadequate guidance given to the skilled artisan for the many reasons stated above.

Thus, factors such as "sufficient working examples", "the level of skill in the art" and "predictability", etc. have been demonstrated to be sufficiently lacking in the instant case for the instant method claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0668. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund J. Shah can be reached on 571-272-0674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

BK

August 12, 2004